

Date: Tue, 19 Apr 94 12:45:29 PDT
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V94 #177
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest Tue, 19 Apr 94 Volume 94 : Issue 177

Today's Topics:

Illinois anti scanner legislation
LICENSING DELAYS
VE's license revoked???

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Tue, 19 Apr 1994 13:15:19 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!pacbell.com!att-out!cbnewsd!russell@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Illinois anti scanner legislation
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

Ted Moran of C.A.R.M.A. (Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association)
brought this to my attention. This should be of intrest to the
amateur community as well as the scanner enthusiast. Even if you do
not live in Illinois, it would be worth writing the Illinois
Legislature and the Governor, voicing your opposition to this bill.
Your state might be next!

>FLASH - IMPORTANT - ACT NOW...

>

>HOUSE BILL 4180 - 88TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

>STATE OF ILLINOIS 1993 AND 1994

>

>INTRODUCED BY REPRESENTATIVE CROSS ON 12 APRIL 1994

>

>SYNOPSIS AS INTRODUCED 720 ILCS 5/31-9 NEW

>

>LRB8814416RCMB Sec.31-9

>

>Amends the Criminal code of 1961. Prohibits the possession of a
>receiver or transceiver capable of monitoring or broadcasting
>police, fire, or other municipal radio frequencies unless the
>device operates exclusively on alternating current power. Penalty
>is a Class B misdemeanor. Exempts peace officers, fire officials,
>municipal employees, agents acting in an official capacity, and
>the press.

>

>THIS IS OUTLANDISH! Write to the members of the Illinois
>Legislature, and the Governor. Especially this fellow Cross. This
>is clearly in violation of the FCC directive on laws restricting
>the possession of amateur radio equipment. Your PRO-2006 and most
>other Base radios can be powered by 12VDC, and thus would be made
>illegal under this proposal. Pass this message onto other BBS
>systems. Notify every amateur radio operator you know, and the
>ARRL. Get ready to write a lot of letters. I will post a complete
>address list for the Illinois House of Reps in the next few days.

>

>Note that the press are exempt. Act now or don't complain when
>they arrest you for listening to the radio. This is not a joke.
>This is real. It's time once again to defend our rights, what are
>you going to do? - Ted / Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association.

--

Russell Keating, N9LIL -----> Send email to: russell1@intgp1.att.com

Date: Tue, 19 Apr 1994 12:36:51 GMT

From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!howland.reston.ans.net!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!

usenet.ucs.indiana.edu!master.cs.rose-hulman.edu!e106-2.rose-hulman.edu!

supervisor@network.ucsd.edu

Subject: LICENSING DELAYS

To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

QST

Our Scout group here in Indiana all received their licenses yesterday
April 18. 10 weeks and 1 day since passing the exams.

Now I could set back and say, OK we got ours and let it go.

I could forget all the other new amateurs waiting weeks for their licenses.
I could write stupid comments criticizing other people's efforts to make our
government run more efficiently for all of us.

I could not do a lot of things, but I will continue to urge all amateurs to
make loud noise about this processing delay problem.

We still need to get the backlog caught up. We need to impress the FCC that their biggest customers not satisfied with the service.

Remember how you felt when your license finally arrived? There were some very happy scouts yesterday evening.

Dave K9ZCE

Date: 15 Apr 1994 23:19:24 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!wupost!bigfoot.wustl.edu!cec3!
j1w3@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: VE's license revoked???
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In response to the fact that I am on week 16 waiting for my tech + license, I have been increasingly aggressive in finding out what is the holdup with my ticket. It turns out that after a considerable amount of prying, I have found, to my dismay, that one of the VE's administering my test has had his license revoked, and as a result, they have kept my application an extra ten weeks. I am told (by the VEC) to wait another month (21 weeks!!!!!!) for my ticket. I am sick and tired of waiting. I will not have any equipment at home in dallas to play with, whereas I can use the shack here at school IF I GET MY LICENSE BEFORE I LEAVE. A couple of questions: (or rather a few)

- 1) what can a ve do to get his license revoked?
- 2) what can I do to get my license without waiting another month??? Does anybody have the addresses of the Texas senators, or representatives for precinct 1107 in Texas?
- 3) can the ARRL do anything to find out what is *really* going on with my application??? This is *mighty* frustrating!!!

--jesse, and I'm getting really pissed off.

Date: Mon, 18 Apr 1994 12:50:15 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!howland.reston.ans.net!darwin.sura.net!
jabba.ess.harris.com!news.ess.harris.com!news@network.ucsd.edu
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <2oi77f\$2cn@bigfoot.wustl.edu>, <041594011548Rnf0.77b9@am,
<2omeua\$3ja@apple.com>É
Subject : Re: LICENSING DELAYS

In article <2omeua\$3ja@apple.com>, kchen@apple.com (Kok Chen) says:

>On a more serious vein, I wonder if all the angst can be alleviated
>by changing the process slightly, where every Form 610 comes with a
>card where you stick a stamp on (your expense, your tax money
>continues to be used to fund Hillary's projects :-)) and you fill
>in your own address.
>
>When the FCC receives your 610 plus card, they can simply shove
>that card into the mailbox. This way, you'd know they have received
>your application. Sort of a receipt as with Certified mail.
>No stamp? The FCC shoves the card into the circular file.
>
>The card can simply say
>
> "Be patient! Government employee at work (sic)."
>
>on it. (Sorry, the devil, and April 15, made me do it.)

This triggered an old memory. When I took my test for General at the FCC office in Atlanta, I addressed an FCC postcard to myself. They paid the postage. A week or so later I got the card with the handwritten note "passed general". I should look at the dates and try to determine how long one waited for a new license back then. BTW, I couldn't start using the general privileges based on this postcard.

Harv
WB4NPL ex WN4NPL

End of Ham-Policy Digest V94 #177
